

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,709	07/25/2001	Michael Hollerman	068550-0105	5180
27433	7590 09/25/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP			KESACK, DANIEL	
321 NORTH CLARK STREET SUITE 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	CHICAGO, IL 60610-4764		3624	

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/912,709	HOLLERMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dan Kesack	3624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 July 2001</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-178 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-178 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	ate			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom representati			

Application/Control Number: 09/912,709 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-25, 52-63, 94-106, 141-150, drawn to inputting an asset symbol and displaying options relating to the asset such that the option having a strike price equal to the current trading price of the asset is centrally positioned among the displayed options.
  - II. Claims 26-38, 74-83, 115-127, 159-168, drawn to inputting an asset symbol and a month, and displaying options related to the asset which expire in the month.
  - III. Claims 39-51, 84-93, 128-140, 169-178, drawn to inputting an asset symbol and a strike price, and displaying options related to the asset for the entered strike price.
  - IV. Claims 107-114, 151-158, drawn to inputting an asset symbol, a strike price, and an expiration date, and displaying options defined by the entered asset symbol, strike price and expiration date.

Application/Control Number: 09/912,709 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I does not require inputting a month, or displaying options which expire in a specific month. The subcombination has separate utility such as centrally positioning the displayed options according to strike price and underlying asset price so that the user can easily see which options are have greater value.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. The combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I does not require inputting a strike price, and displaying only options having the entered strike price. The subcombination has separate utility such as displaying related options having a variety of strike prices and positioning the options according to strike price and the current price of the underlying asset so that the user can easily see which options are have greater value.

Application/Control Number: 09/912,709 Page 4

Art Unit: 3624

4. Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. The combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I does not require inputting a strike price and expiration date and displaying options defined by the entered strike price and expiration date. The subcombination has separate utility such as displaying options with a variety of expiration dates and strike prices and centrally positioning the displayed options according to strike price and underlying asset price so that the user can easily see which options are have greater value.

- 5. Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. The combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention II does not require inputting the strike price and displaying options having the entered strike price. The subcombination has separate utility such as displaying options with a variety of strike prices so that the relative value of each option can be assessed.
- 6. Inventions II and IV are related as combination and subcombination. The combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention II does not require inputting a strike price and displaying options having the entered strike price. The subcombination has separate utility such as displaying options with a variety of strike prices so that the relative value of each option can be assessed.

7. Inventions III and IV are related as combination and subcombination. The combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention II does not require inputting an expiration date and displaying options having the entered expiration date. The subcombination has separate utility such as displaying options with a variety of expiration dates so that the relative value of each option can be assessed.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

8. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Additionally, the different,

and in some cases mutually exclusive limitations of the claimed inventions further exacerbate this burden.

9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 3624

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Kesack whose telephone number is 571-272-5882. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 571-272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

" Vineens Melle

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600